§ 15497. Local Control and Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template.

introduction:

LEA: Leadership Public Schools San Jose Contact (Name, Title, Email, Phone Number): Jessica Diaz, Principal, jbarrett@leadps.org LCAP Year: 2014

Local Control and Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template

The Locol Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) and annual update template shall be used to provide details regarding local educational agencies' (LEAs) actions and expenditures to support pupil outcomes and overall performance pursuant to Education Code sections 52060, 52066, 47605.5, and 47606.5.

Charter schools, pursuant to Education Code sections 47605, 47605.5, and 47606.5, must describe goals and specific actions to achieve those goals far all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Cade section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, for each of the state priorities as applicable and ony locally identified priorities. For charter schools, the inclusion and description af goals for state priorities in the LCAP may be modified to meet the grade levels served and the nature of the programs pravided, including modifications to reflect only the statutory requirements explicitly applicable to charter schools in the Education Code.

The LCAP is intended to be a comprehensive planning tool. LEAs may reference and describe actions and expenditures in ather plans and funded by a variety of other fund sources when detailing goals, actions, and expenditures related to the state and local priorities. LCAPs must be consistent with school plans submitted pursuant to Education Code section 64001. The information contained in the LCAP, or annual update, may be supplemented by information contained in other plans (including the LEA plan pursuant to Section 1112 of Subpart 1 of Part A of Title I af Public Law 107-110) that are incorporated or referenced as relevant in this document.

For each section of the template, LEAs should comply with instructions and use the guiding questions as prompts (but nat limits) for completing the information as required by statute. Guiding questions do not require separate narrative responses. Data referenced in the LCAP must be consistent with the school accountability report card where appropriate. LEAs may resize pages or attach odditional pages as necessory to facilitate completion of the LCAP.

State Priorities

The state priorities listed in Education Code sections 52060 and 52066 can be categorized as specified below for planning purposes, hawever, school districts and county offices of education must address each of the state priorities in their LCAP. Charter schools must address the priorities in Education Code section 52060(d) that apply to the grade levels served, or the nature of the program operated, by the charter school.

A. Conditions of Learning:

James By Water, LPS Supto (60 6/24/4

Basic: degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned pursuant to Education Code section 44258.9, and fully credentialed in the subject oreas and for the pupils they are teaching; pupils have occess to standards-aligned instructional materials pursuant to Education Code section 60119; and school facilities are maintained in good repair pursuant to Education Code section 17002(d). (Priority 1)

Implementation of State Standards: implementation of academic content and performance standards adapted by the state board for all pupils, including English learners. (Priority 2)

Course access: pupil enrollment in a broad caurse of study that includes all of the subject areas described in Education Cade section 51210 and subdivisions (a) to (i), inclusive, of Section 51220, as applicable. (Priority 7)

B. Pupil Outcomes:

Pupil achievement: performance on standardized tests, score on Academic Performance Index, share of pupils that are college and career ready, share af English learners that became English proficient, English learner reclassification rate, share af pupils that pass Advanced Placement exams with 3 ar higher, share of pupils determined prepared for college by the Early Assessment Program. (Priarity 4)

Other pupil outcomes: pupil outcomes in the subject areas described in Education Code section 51210 and subdivisions (a) to (i), inclusive, of Education Code section 51220, as applicable. (Priority 8)

C. Engagement:

Parent involvement: efforts to seek parent input in decision making, promotion of parent participation in programs for unduplicated pupils and special need subgroups. (Priority 3)

Pupil engagement: school attendance rates, chronic absenteeism rates, middle school drapout rates, high school drapout rates, high school graduations rates. (Priority 5)

School climate: pupil suspension rates, pupil expulsion rates, other local measures including surveys of pupils, parents and teachers on the sense of safety and school cannectedness. (Priority 6)

Section 1: Stakeholder Engagement

Meaningful engagement of parents, pupils, and other stakeholders, including those representing the subgroups identified in Education Cade section 52052, is critical to the LCAP and budget process. Education Code sections 52062 and 52063 specify the minimum requirements for school districts; Education Code sections 52068 and 52069 specify the minimum requirements for county offices af education, and Education Code section 47606.5 specifies the minimum requirements for charter schools. In addition, Education Code section 48985 specifies the requirements for

translation of documents.

Instructions:

• Describe the process used to engage parents, pupils, and the community and how this engagement contributed to development of the LCAP or annual update. (See example from PDF)

Guiding Questions:

- 1) How have parents, community members, pupils, local bargaining units, and other stakeholders (e.g., LEA personnel, county child welfare agencies, county office of education foster youth services programs, court-appointed special advocates, foster youth, foster parents, education rights holders and other foster youth stakeholders, English learner parents, community organizations representing English learners, and others as appropriate) been engaged and involved in developing, reviewing, and supporting implementation of the LCAP?
- 2) How have stakeholders been included in the LEA's process in a timely manner to allow for engagement in the development of the LCAP?
- 3) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was made available to stakeholders related to the state priorities and used by the LEA to inform the LCAP goal setting process?
- 4) What changes, if any, were made in the LCAP prior to adoption as a result of written comments or other feedback received by the LEA through any of the LEA's engagement processes?
- 5) What specific actions were taken to meet statutory requirements for stakeholder engagement pursuant to Education Code sections 52062, 52068, and 47606.5, including engagement with representative parents of pupils identified in Education Code section 42238.01?
- 6) In the annual update, how has the involvement of these stakeholders supported improved outcomes for pupils related to the state priorities?

Involvement Process Impact on LCAP Our engagement with various stakeholders helped us identify Leadership Public Schools San Jose went through an extensive involvement process to ensure the perspectives of our multiple stakeholders both informed the development and key state priorities where we were already seeing successes. revision of our Local Control Accountability Plan. The narrative below outlines our It also helped us identify particular areas of focus and helped differentiated approaches: shape both the goals and actions we will take to improve student outcomes. Our involvement process had the following Students/Pupils: In an effort to ensure the voice and perspective of pupils were included specific impact on our LCAP. in the development of the LCAP, town half meetings were held in all English classes. Identification of student achievement as a primary This involved the principal of the LEA visiting all English classes, sharing the goals of the growth target, specifically examining EL and SPED LCAP and revisiting schools goals. Students were then asked to share their perspectives achievement on what needed to be changed, kept, or developed to ensure better outcomes as a School climate needs - currently have a culture of

school. In addition to facilitated conversations, students completed an accompanying survey to ensure students who did not feel comfortable voicing their thoughts out loud were also able to contribute. The survey included between 4 and 12 open-ended questions depending on the grade level and included such questions as:

- What needs to change about LPS San Jose to better prepare you for college?
- What would help increase school spirit, student engagement, and pride?
- To what degree do you feel adults at school hold high expectations of you? Explain.
- Do you feel respected at LPS San Jose? Why or why not?
- What would need to change in order for LPS San Jose to truly live up to its original ideals of commitment, respect, and responsibility?

LEA Personnel (Teachers/Staff): Input from personnel was collected in two primary ways. All staff were given an extensive network wide survey in February 2014 that assessed school climate, instructional program, college preparation for students, teacher evaluation and professional development. In addition 30 minute 1:1 conversations were held with all returning staff to delve deeper into their perspectives in relationship to the eight statewide priorities. These conversations were led by the incoming principal and were conducted over the winter and early Spring of 2014.

Parents/Community Members: The LEA held a series of parent engagement meetings specifically aimed at educating parents about LCAP/LCFF, as well as soliciting their input on plan development. Below is an outline of the various engagement points.

- January 27: Superintendent & CEO led parent meeting introducing LCFF, LCAP, and opportunities for engagement.
- February 12: Interested EL parents convened as the LCAP EL Parent Advisory committee to discuss opportunities at LPS San Jose
- March 19: A combined meeting was held between the Parent Guardian
 Association (PGA), the School Site Council (SSC), and the English Learner
 Advisory Committee (ELAC). The eight state priorities were reviewed and
 discussion was had to brainstorm actions that would lead to improved outcomes
 for students.
- April 23: Parent Guardian Association reviewed previous ideas and new attendees contributed their input
- May 8: School Site Council (SSC), and the English Learner Advisory Committee
 (ELAC) reviewed school data and possible goals in alignment to each of the
 eight state priorities, focusing primarily on Priority Three: Parent Involvement and
 Priority Five: Pupil Engagement. They contributed additional or supplemental
 goals (specific focus to EL achievement and engagement) and generated
 potential actions that could be taken by the school.

- discipline rather than community or academic pride.
- Need for additional college and career readiness opportunities including more class options, AP courses, college visits, internships, guest speakers, etc.
- Need for stronger parent perspectives and participation in school wide events.

In addition to the above impact, there were additional thoughts and perspectives that arose from our engagement process that, while incredibly helpful, were not integrated into the current version of our LCAP. In making the decision to not prioritize these goals or actions, we took into account what is enumerated in our charter petition as well as the data that drove the goals outlined in section two. Below is a list of themes that arose from our involvement process which were not incorporated.

- Construction of a larger or enclosed facility
- Change of food program
- Elimination of school uniforms

 Week of May19th: SSC, ELAC, and LCAP EL Parent Advisory committee reviewed final LCAP and gave approval.

Additional Stake Holders: Our work with additional partners and stake holders has also informed the content and development of the LCAP. Conversations with the City Peace Project and the Mexican American Community Services Agency (MACSA) were had around current and future gang involvement work to be done at LPS San Jose. In addition, our participation and ongoing conversations with "A Look at Learning," a Santa Clara County Office of Education Program designed to support effective implementation of English Language Development (ELD) and Specially Designed Academic Instruction in English (SDAIE) in the content areas helped informed both our goals and actions related to our English Learners. Finally, the Leadership Public Schools Network Director of Student Services had direct involvement in facilitating a portion of the stakeholder engagement conversations, specifically with parents. In addition, he reviewed all goals and actions pertaining to our Special Education students.

Section 2: Goals and Progress Indicators

For school districts, Education Code sections 52060 and 52061, for county offices of education, Education Code sections 52066 and 52067, and for charter schools, Education Code section 47606.5 require(s) the LCAP to include a description of the annual goals, for all pupils and each subgraup of pupils, for each state priority and any local priorities and require the annual update to include a review of progress towards the goals and describe any changes to the goals.

Instructions:

- Describe annual goals and expected and actual progress toward meeting goals. This section must include specifics projected for the
 applicable term of the LCAP (Three years), The metrics may be quantitative or qualitative, although LEAs must, at minimum, use the
 specific metrics that statute explicitly references as required elements for measuring progress within a particular state priority area.
 Goals must address each of the state priorities and any additional local priorities; however, one goal may address multiple priorities. The
 LEA may identify which subgroups have the same goals, and group and describe those goals together. The LEA may also indicate those
 goals that are not applicable to a specific subgroup or school site. The goals must reflect outcomes for all pupils and include specific
 goals for specific subgroups, including pupils with disabilities.
- To facilitate alignment between the LCAP and school plans, the LCAP shall identify and incorporate school-specific goals related to the

state and local priorities from the school plans submitted pursuant to Education Code section 64001. Furthermore, the LCAP should be shared with, and input requested from, school site-level advisory groups (e.g., school site councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, pupil advisory groups, etc.) to facilitate alignment between school-site and district-level goals and actions. An LEA may incorporate or reference actions described in other plans that are being undertaken to meet the goal.

Guiding Questions:

- 1) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Conditions of Learning"?
- 2) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Pupil Outcomes"?
- 3) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Engagement" (e.g., pupil and parent)?
- 4) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address locally-identified priorities?
- 5) How have the unique needs of individual school sites been evaluated to inform the development of meaningful district and/or individual school site goals (e.g., input from site level advisory groups, staff, parents, community, pupils; review of school level plans; in-depth school level data analysis, etc.)?
- 6) What are the unique goals for subgroups as defined in Education Code sections 42238.01 and 52052 that are different from the LEA's goals for all pupils?
- 7) What are the specific predicted outcomes/metrics/noticeable changes associated with each of the goals annually and over the term of the LCAP?
- 8) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was considered/reviewed to develop goals to address each state or local priority and/or to review progress toward goals in the annual update?
- 9) What information was considered/reviewed for individual school sites?
- 10) What information was considered/reviewed for subgroups identified in Education Code section 52052?
- 11)In the annual update, what changes/progress have been realized and how do these compare to changes/progress predicted? What modifications are being made to the LCAP as a result of this comparison?

Section 2: Goals and Progress Indicators

Identified Need and Metric (What needs have been		Goals			What will be di	fferent/ improved fo on identified metr	•	Related State and Local Priorities (Identify specific state priority. For districts and
identified and what metrics are used to measure progress?)	Description of Goal	Applicable Pupil Subgroup(s) (Identify applicable subgroups (as defined in EC 52052) or indicate "all" for all pupils.)	School(s) Affected	Progress	LCAP YEAR Year 1: 2014- 15	LCAP YEAR Year 2: 2015-16	LCAP YEAR Year 3: 2016-17	COEs, all priorities in statute must be included and identified; each goal may be linked to more than one priority if appropriate.)
Need: To provide and maintain basic services for students and schools Metric: % of teachers in the correct assignment areas	Ensure all teachers are oppropriately credentialed for their ossigned area of instruction and pupils in their classroom.	All Students	LPS San Jose		100%	100%	100%	Basic Services (1)
Need: To provide and maintain basic services for students and schools Metric: Standards aligned instructional materials and school based technology survey	All students have access to individual technalogy use to focilitate stondards aligned instruction.	All Students	LPS San Jose		Technology Cart access for 90% of classrooms	Technology Cart access for 100% of classrooms	Technology Cart access for 100% of classrooms	Basic Services (1)
Need: Ensure all students have access to and are successful according to the Common Core State	Increase the number of students proficient or obove according to the CCSS/SBAC assessments in Mothematics	All Students EL Students	LPS San Jose		Benchmark Benchmark	B + 2% B + 2%	B + 5% B + 5%	Implementation of State Standards (2) Student Achievement (4)
Standards Metric: % proficiency on CCSS/SBAC Mathematics Assessments		SPED	- Andrews - Andr	4	Benchmark	B + 2%	B + 5%	
Need: Ensure all students have access to and are successful according to the Common Core State	Increase the number of students proficient or above according to the CCSS/SBAC assessments in English	All Students EL Students	LPS San Jose		Benchmark Benchmark	B + 2% B + 2%	B + 5% B + 5%	Implementation of State Standards (2) Student Achievement (4)

LPS SAN JOSE LCAP

Page 8 of 18

Standards		SPED Students			Benchmark	B + 2%	B + 5%	
Metric: % proficiency on CCSS/SBAC English Assessments								
Need: Increase number of students prepared for	Increase the number of student who complete	All Students	LPS San Jose	80%	82%	84%	86%	Student Achievement (4)
college	15 A-G units.	EL Students		62%	64%	66%	68%	
Metric: % of students completing minimum A-		SPED Students		NA				
G course of study		African American		NA			ACT	
Need: Increase parent involvement with school	Increase percent of parents who complete Parent Survey	All Students	LPS San Jose		Benchmark	B + 5%	B+10%	Parent Involvement (3)
Metric: % completion of parent survey	,	El. Students						
		SPED Students						
Need: Increase parent involvement in school	Increase the number of family members attending academic	All Students	LPS San Jose		Baseline	B+5%	B+10%	Parent Involvement (3)
Metric: percent of parents who attend	engagement activities (PGA, canferences,				- Later and the second	WATER AND THE STATE OF THE STAT		
workshops, conferences, or other academic activities	community service).				To the state of th	Towns 1000 April 100 April		
Need: Rapidly develop the English Proficiency of our EL Students	Increase the percentage of ELs making annual pragress	EL Students	LPS San Jose		52%	54%	56%	Student Achievement (4)
Metric: AMAO 1								
Need: Rapidly develop the English Proficiency of our EL Students	Increase the percentoge of ELs Attaining 4 or 5 level on CELDT.	EL Students	LPS San Jose		45%	47%	49%	Student Achievement (4)
Metric: AMAO 2								
Need: Increase 10 th grade CAHSEE passing and proficiency rates for	Increase 10 th grade passing and proficiency rates for CAHSEE Math	All Students	LPS San Jose	76%/40% (Ps/Prf)	80%/42%	82%/44%	85%/46%	Student Achievement (4)
students	, and joi willows (Math	EL Students		58%/25%	60%/27%	62%/29%	64%/31%	

Page 9 of 18

				(Ps/Prf)	T	T		
Metric: % 10 th grade passing and % 10 th grade proficient in CAHSEE math		SPED Students		50%/38% (Ps/Prf)	52%/40%	64%/42%	66%/44%	
Need: increase 10 th grade CAHSEE passing and proficiency rates	Increase 10 th grade passing and proficiency	All Students	LPS San Jose	63%/24% (Ps/Prf)	65%/26%	67%/28%	69%/30%	Student Achievement (4)
for students	rates for CAHSEE English	EL Students		33%/8% (Ps/Prf)	35%/10%	37%/12%	39%/14%	
Metric: % 10 th grade passing and % 10 th grade proficient in CAHSEE English		SPED Students		43%/14% (Ps/Prf)	45%/16%	47%/18%	49%/18%	
Need: Accelerated	Increase percent of	All Students	LPS San Jose	Baseline	B+2%	B+4%	B+6%	Student Achievement (4)
growth in math for	students who average of				**************************************		na + 100 million	
students below grade level	1.5 years of growth an MAP MATH	EL Students						
Metric: Measurement of								
Academic Progress Need: Accelerated	Increase percent of	SPED Students	1000-1	n !!	D 204	D . 464	D 604	Cr. 1 . A
growth in reading for	students who overage of	All Students	LPS San Jose	Baseline	B+2%	B+4%	B+6%	Student Achievement (4)
students below grade	1.5 years of growth on							
level	MAP READING	EL Students						
Metric: Measurement of Academic Progress		SPED Students			:			
Need: Chronic absentee	Decrease the number of	All Students	LPS San Jose	17%	16%	15%	14%	Pupil Engagement (5)
rates	students missing more	rui Stadents	CI 3 2011 3036	1770	10/0	1376	14%	Labit cuBaBettiett (2)
	than ten days of school	SPED		50%	46%	43%	40%	
Metric: percentage of students missing 10% or more of school		English Learner		19%	16%	13%	11%	
Need: To increase	Increase four year	Ali School			69%	71%	73%	Student Engagement (5),
number of students who successfully enter and complete high school	cohort graduatian rates	English Learner			50%	52%	54%	Student Chigagement (3), Student Achievement (4), School Climate (6)
college and career ready		SPED			52%	54%	56%	
Metric: 4 year cohort graduation rates		3r LU			32%	ጋ 4 %	36%	

			THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE					
Need: Improve school	Reduce the percentage	All School	LPS San Jose	75/309 (24%)	22%	20%	18%	School Climate (6)
climate	of students who receive							
Metric: Suspension	one or more out of school suspension	English Learner		32/118 (27%)	25%	23%	20%	***
Rates	during the school year							***************************************
Marca	during the school year							***************************************

Section 3: Actions, Services, and Expenditures

For school districts, Education Code sections 52060 and 52061, for county offices of education, Education Code sections 52066 and 52067, and for charter schools, Education Code section 47606.5 require the LCAP to include a description of the specific actions an LEA will take to meet the goals identified. Additionally Education Code section 52604 requires a listing and description of the expenditures required to implement the specific actions.

Instructions: Identify annual actions to be performed to meet the goals described in Section 2, and describe expenditures to implement each action, and where these expenditures can be found in the LEA's budget. Actions may describe a group of services that are implemented to achieve identified goals. The actions and expenditures must reflect details within a goal for the specific subgroups identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, and for specific school sites as applicable. In describing the actions and expenditures that will serve low-income, English learner, and/or foster youth pupils as defined in Education Code section 42238.01, the LEA must identify whether supplemental and concentration funds are used in a districtwide, schoolwide, countywide, or charterwide manner. In the annual update, the LEA must describe any changes to actions as a result of a review of progress. The LEA must reference all fund sources used to support actions and services. Expenditures must be classified using the California 5chool Accounting Manual as required by Education Code sections 52061, 52067, and 47606.5.

Guiding Questions:

- 1) What actions/services will be provided to all pupils, to subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to Education Code section 52052, to specific school sites, to English learners, to low-income pupils, and/or to foster youth to achieve goals identified in the LCAP?
- 2) How do these actions/services link to identified goals and performance indicators?

3) What expenditures support changes to actions/services as a result of the goal identified? Where can these expenditures be found in the LEA's budget?

		Section	3: Actions, S	ervices, Expe	enditures			
Goal (Include and identify all goals from Section 2)	Related State and Local Priorities (from Section 2)	Actions and Services	Level of Service (Indicate if school- wide or LEA-wide)	Annual Update: Review of actions/ services	(and are projected to b	/hat actions are performed or services provided in each y nd are projected to be provided in years 2 and 3)? What e anticipated expenditures for each action (including fun- source)?		
					LCAP Year	Year 2: 2015-16	Year 3: 2016-17	
Ensure all teachers are appropriately credentialed for their assigned area of instruction and pupils in their classroom.	Basic Services (1)	Recruit and hire appropriately credentialed teachers	LEA Wide		Year 1: 2014-15 General Funds			
All students hove access to individual technology use to facilitate standards aligned instruction.	Basic Services (1)	Secure additional technology devices for classrooms	LEA Wide		Purchase additional chrome carts from general funds			
increase the number of students proficient or above according to the CCSS/SBAC assessments in Mathematics Increase the number of students proficient or above according to the CCSS/SBAC assessments in English	Implementation of State Standards (2) Student Achievement (4)	Monthly network CCSS and deeper learning professional development Weekly site based professional development aligned to CCSS Teacher participation in network wide curriculum institute	LEA Wide		Academic Dean works in collaboration with Network to develop professional development. General Funds			
Create, administer, and improve parent involvement on LPS created Parent	Parent Involvement (3)	Creation of parent engagement survey	LEA Wide		Work with Director of Data and Assessment to create parent engagement survey			

Survey				General Funds
Increase the number of family members attending engagement (PGA, conferences, community service) and celebratory (praductions, awards nights, etc) events.	Parent Involvement (3)	Implementation of celebration and collaboration based parent events (focused on 9 th and 10 th) Development of a parent communication plan (phone tree, newsletter, texting plan, detailed agendas)	LEA Wide	Campus liaison develops parent and community engagement plan. Calendar community events and share proactively with families. 10% of Campus Liaison & Intervention Specialist salary and benefits (\$6,765) from Local Control Funding
Student Achievement Goals Increase 10 th grade passing and proficiency rates far CAHSEE Math Increase 10 th grade	Student Achievement (4)	CAHSEE Boot Camp Implementation of BUILD Course for 9 th grade students	LEA Wide	\$4,000 of Title I Funding General Funds
passing and proficiency rates far CAHSEE English Students entering below grade level	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	9 th grade Academic Numeracy to support math achievement		\$27,060 of Title I Funding
achieve and average of 1.5 years of growth Increase the % af students advanced and proficient in key		Creation of Academic Leadership course to develop expository reading and writing skills		\$27,060 of Title ! Funding
subject oreas Increase the average student GPA		Testing coordinator to plan MAP test administration and data review	RANGOWOOD TO	General Funds
	No.Africal data depotated and	Tutorial Period		General Funds
	A 50-7-1	Title I After School		\$18,000 of Title I SES funds
		Morning Homework		General Funds

						1	
		center					
		1:1 teacher coaching focused on struggling students			20% of Academic Dean Salary and Benefits (\$17,220) from Title I Funding		
Increase the number of AP or college credit bearing courses offered and % of AP exams passed with a score of 3 or higher	Student Achievement (4), Courses Offered (7)	Administer AP survey Offer additional AP Courses Creation of new AP courses each year provided interest Send AP teachers to nationally offered AP Training Develop partnership with local community colleges	LEA Wide		General Funds		
Decrease the number of	Pupil	Dean of Students to	LEA Wide	***************************************	General Funds		
students missing more than ten days of school	Engagement (5)	Develop Attendance Policy	-2 71,00		GONG, SIT WILLS		
Decrease the percentage of tardies to first period	Annaba Tanaha Marana Tanah	Development of Clear SST process to work with students chronically absent			5% of Campus Liaison & Intervention Specialist salary and benefits (\$3,382) LCFF		
Increase four year cohort graduation rates	Student Engagement (5), Student Achievement (4), School Climate (6)	Second Chance Saturdays College Trips SAT Prep classes Development and implementation of advisory curriculum focused on college readiness and path Week Without Walls	LEA Wide		General Funds		

Development of an Internship Program College Counselor to deliver parent workshops Additional counselor focused on 9 th and 10 th grade Determine and improve CA Healthy Kids Index Reduce the overall number How of College Counselor 10% of College Counselor Salary and Benefits (\$6579) Title III Funds 30% of Campus Liaison & Intervention Specialist salary and benefits (\$20,301) LCFF Determine and improve CA Healthy Kids Index Engagement (5) Dean of Students to develop school culture vision and plan LEA Wide (\$1,7,200) LCFF
College Counselor to deliver parent workshops Additional counselor focused on 9 th and 10 th Brade Determine and improve CA Healthy Kids Index Healthy Kids Index College Counselor 5alary and Benefits (\$6579) Title III Funds 30% of Campus Liaison & Intervention Specialist salary and benefits (\$20,301) LCFF Determine and improve CA Healthy Kids Index (6), Student Engagement (5) College Counselor 5alary and Benefits (\$20% of Dean of Student Life Salary and Benefits (\$10% of College Counselor 5alary and Benefits 5alary and Benefits 6alary an
deliver parent workshops Additional counselor focused on 9 th and 10 th grade Determine and improve CA Healthy Kids Index Bean of Student Engagement (5) Healthy Sids Index Additional counselor focused on 9 th and 10 th Intervention Specialist salary and benefits (\$20,301) LCFF Dean of Students to develop school culture Usion and plan Salary and Benefits (\$6579) Title III Funds 30% of Campus Liaison & Intervention Specialist salary and benefits (\$20,301) LCFF 20% of Dean of Student Life Salary and Benefits (\$1,7,200) LCFF
deliver parent workshops Additional counselor focused on 9 th and 10 th grade Determine and improve CA Healthy Kids Index Bean of Student Engagement (5) Healthy Sids Index Additional counselor focused on 9 th and 10 th Intervention Specialist salary and benefits (\$20,301) LCFF Dean of Students to develop school culture Usion and plan Salary and Benefits (\$6579) Title III Funds 30% of Campus Liaison & Intervention Specialist salary and benefits (\$20,301) LCFF 20% of Dean of Student Life Salary and Benefits (\$1,7,200) LCFF
deliver parent workshops Additional counselor focused on 9 th and 10 th grade Determine and improve CA Healthy Kids Index Bean of Student Engagement (5) Healthy Side Index Additional counselor focused on 9 th and 10 th Intervention Specialist salary and benefits (\$20,301) LCFF Dean of Students to develop school culture Engagement (5) Vision and plan Salary and Benefits (\$6579) Title III Funds 30% of Campus Liaison & Intervention Specialist salary and benefits (\$20,301) LCFF 20% of Dean of Student Life Salary and Benefits (\$17,200) LCFF
Additional counselor focused on 9 th and 10 th grade 30% of Campus Liaison & Intervention Specialist salary and benefits (\$20,301) LCFF Determine and improve CA Healthy Kids Index (6), Student develop school culture Engagement (5) vision and plan Title III Funds 30% of Campus Liaison & Intervention Specialist salary and benefits (\$20,301) LCFF 20% of Dean of Student Life Salary and Benefits (\$17,200) LCFF
Additional counselor focused on 9 th and 10 th grade Determine and improve CA Healthy Kids Index Additional counselor focused on 9 th and 10 th lintervention Specialist salary and benefits (\$20,301) LCFF Dean of Students to develop school culture (6), Student Engagement (5) vision and plan Additional counselor
focused on 9 th and 10 th grade Determine and improve CA Healthy Kids Index (5) Student Engagement (5) Focused on 9 th and 10 th grade School Climate develop school culture Engagement (5) Intervention Specialist salary and benefits (\$20,301) LCFF 20% of Dean of Student Life Salary and Benefits (\$17,200) LCFF
focused on 9 th and 10 th grade Determine and improve CA Healthy Kids Index Figagement (5) Focused on 9 th and 10 th grade School Climate develop school culture Engagement (5) Focused on 9 th and 10 th Intervention Specialist salary and benefits (\$20,301) LCFF 20% of Dean of Student Life Salary and Benefits (\$17,200) LCFF
focused on 9 th and 10 th grade Determine and improve CA Healthy Kids Index (5) Student Engagement (5) Focused on 9 th and 10 th grade School Climate develop school culture Engagement (5) Intervention Specialist salary and benefits (\$20,301) LCFF 20% of Dean of Student Life Salary and Benefits (\$17,200) LCFF
grade salary and benefits (\$20,301) LCFF Determine and improve CA Healthy Kids Index (6), Student develop school culture Engagement (5) Vision and plan grade salary and benefits (\$20,301) LCFF 20% of Dean of Student Life Salary and Benefits (\$17,200) LCFF
Comparison of
Determine and improve CA School Climate Dean of Students to LEA Wide 20% of Dean of Student Life Salary and Benefits
Healthy Kids Index (6), Student develop school culture Life Salary and Benefits Engagement (5) vision and plan (\$17,200) LCFF
Engagement (5) vision and plan (\$17,200) LCFF
Engagement (5) vision and plan (\$17,200) LCFF
of suspensions and Development of school
percentage of students wide activities focused on
suspended in eoch subgroup school spirit and
community (guest
speakers, family meetings,
award ceremonies)
awaru ceremonies)
Enroll school in CAHKS
and purchase
supplemental modules
Additional sports offerings
Additional shorts briefings
Increase the amount of
mental health services
available to students
School Climate Implementation of LEA Wide General Funds
(6) Mindfulness work for
small group of students
(pilot) General Funds
Intervention Coordinator
Work
Focus on gang
prevention and Intervention Specialist
socio-emotional salary and benefits
support (\$20,301) LCFF

		 Implementation of Restorative Justice program 			
Increase the overall number of courses offered	Course Access (7), Student Engagement (S), School Climate	Creation of new elective options as well as additional AP courses	LEA Wide	General Funds	
	(6)	Provide stipends for Intervention course (Ac Num, Ac Lead) teachers with additional preps		\$2,000 (Title I)	

A. Identify additional annual actions, and the LEA may include any services that support these actions, above what is provided for all pupils that will serve low-income, English learner, and/or foster youth pupils as defined in Education Code section 42238.01 and pupils redesignated as fluent English proficient. The identified actions must include, but are not limited to, those actions that are to be performed to meet the targeted goals described in Section 2 for low-income pupils, English learners, foster youth and/or pupils redesignated as fluent English proficient (e.g., not listed in Table 3A above). List and describe expenditures for each fiscal year implementing these actions, including where those expenditures can be found in the LEA's budget.

Goal (Include and identify all goals from Section 2, if applicable)	Related State and Local Priorities (from Section 2)	Actions and Services	Level of Service (Indicate if school- wide or LEA-wide)	Annual Update: Review of actions/ services	What actions are performed or services provided in each yea (and are projected to be provided in years 2 and 3)? What at the anticipated expenditures for each action (including fundi source)?		
					LCAP Year Year 1: 2014-15	Year 2: 2015-16	Year 3: 2016-17
State Standard Implementation Goals (EL) Increase the number of students proficient or above occording to the CCSS/SBAC assessments in	Implementati on of State Standards (2) Student Achievement (4)	Adoption of English 3D to specifically support lower performing English Learner students Implementation of English 3D sections to improve	LEA Wide		\$2500 Local Control Concentration Funds \$27,060 salary/benefits for English 3D Teacher		

	·	T	·				<u></u>
Mathematics		English proficiency for EL			from Local Control		
• Increase the number of	***************************************	students	***		Concentration Funds		
students proficient or							
above according to the		Teacher data review and			General Funds		
CCSS/SBAC		coaching with EL outcomes					
assessments in English	***	lens		-			
Student Achievement Gools		Professional Development		verovenia de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la companya	General Funds		
(EL students)]	led by RSP team focused on		1			
Decrease CAHSEE		SLDs and inclusion support					ĺ
Achievement gop in		strategies					
Math							
Decrease CAHSEE		Continuation of teacher			\$4,000 for annual		
Achievement gap in	ļ	development using "A Look			license and training of		
English		at Learning" resources, a			new teachers from Title		
Increase the number of	-	Santa Clara County			III Funds		
students reaching		Program that supports					70000
English proficiency		effective implementation					
Students entering	[of English Language					
below grade level		Development (ELD) and		ĺ			
achieve and average		Specially Designed					
of 1.5 years of growth		Academic Instruction in					
Increase the % of		English (SDAIE) in the					
students advanced and		content areas.					
proficient in key							
subject areas		Application of universal			General Funds		
1		Design and the QTEL					
		scaffolding model to all					1
		curricular resources					
		PD on integrating language			General Funds		
1	ļ	objectives and ELD					
SPED Attendance	DII	standards	150,000				
	Pupil	Specific RSP portion of the school wide attendance	LEA Wide		General Funds		
• Increase average	Engagement	I .					
period ottendance for	(5)	plan.	J				
SPED students		Cyartoub					
Decrease the number		Quarterly attendance					
of students missing		review from RSP team.					
more than ten doys of	***************************************						
school	1	-					1
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l	l	l

Increase four year cohort	Other Pupil	Identify teacher to spend	LEA Wide	10% salary and benefits	
graduation rates	Outcomes (8),	10% of time as EL case		(\$5,289) from Local	****
	Student	manager to review EL		Control Concentration	RANGE TO THE PROPERTY OF THE P
Decrease the EL GPA gap	Achievement	achievement outcomes and		Funds	
	(4), School	graduation progress on			***
	Climate (6),	quarterly basis			****
	Student				
	Engagement	•			
	(5)				

B. Describe the LEA's increase in funds in the LCAP year calculated on the basis of the number and concentration of low income, foster youth, and English learner pupils as determined pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(5). Describe how the LEA is expending these funds in the LCAP year. Include a description of, and justification for, the use of any funds in a districtwide, schoolwide, countywide, or charterwide manner as specified in 5 CCR 15496. For school districts with below 55 percent of enrollment of unduplicated pupils in the district or below 40 percent of enrollment of unduplicated pupils at a school site in the LCAP year, when using supplemental and concentration funds in a districtwide or schoolwide manner, the school district must additionally describe how the services provided are the most effective use of funds to meet the district's goals for unduplicated pupils in the state priority areas. (See 5 CCR 15496(b) for guidance.)

83% of Leadership Public Schools San Jose students meet the LCFF priority criteria allowing for LCC programs in this area to be school wide. Total LCFF funding for the school-wide portion of the plan is estimated at \$65,152. These funds will be used to support 70% FTE Campus Liaison & Intervention Specialist. The funds will cover the specific responsibilities that are most imperative to support the achievement and graduation of our students. Specifically, \$6,767 will support the CIIS work with parents and families, as parent engagement and collaboration was identified as a significant need to ensure the success of students. Several stakeholders identified the need to begin college knowledge dissemination and academic support in the earlier grades. As such, \$20,301 of these funds will support academic counseling for 9th and 10th grade students. \$20,301 will be used to improve school culture (reducing the number of suspensions and improving school climate index) through the implementation of the Restorative Justice program, Gang intervention education, and partnership with local community support groups to ensure sufficient services to meet students' socio-emotional needs. In addition to these intervention strategies, \$17,220 will be used to create and implement a school-wide culture plan in alignment with our LCAP school climate goals that includes student life activities — a clear need identified through student surveys, teacher conversations, and parent meetings. Finally, \$3,382 will be used to establish and run the student support team, whose responsibly it is

to focus on our most struggling students.

C. Consistent with the requirements of 5 CCR 15496, demonstrate how the services provided in the LCAP year for low income pupils, foster youth, and English learners provide for increased or improved services for these pupils in proportion to the increase in funding provided for such pupils in that year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(7). Identify the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all pupils in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a). An LEA shall describe how the proportionality percentage is met using a quantitative and/or qualitative description of the increased and/or improved services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all pupils.

Approximately 45% of Leadership Public Schools San Jose students are English Learners, Special Education or Foster Students who, in addition to participating in the school-wide LCFF initiatives, also receive over-and-above services at a proportional rate of \$40,000. XXX of LCFF Concentration funding supports the adoption of English 3D, a curriculum aimed at developing the English proficiency of English Learners. %27,060 supports the creation of an English 3D courses (40% FTE). Finally, \$5,289 supports an English Learner case manager (10% FTE), whose responsibility is to track the academic and graduation progress for English Learners and work with the counseling team to develop appropriate interventions when necessary.